

## The effect of Dry Season Stretch on Chlorophyll Content and RWC of Wheat Genotypes (*Triticum durum* L.)

Roza Gholamin<sup>1</sup> and Majid Khayatnezhad<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Young Researchers Club, Ardabil Branch, Islamic Azad University, Ardabil, Iran.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Environmental Sciences and Engineering, Ardabil Branch, Islamic Azad University, Ardabil, Iran

### ABSTRACT

Drought stress is considered among the essential environmental stresses. Moreover, Wheatfields are under the danger of drought stress. In 2013, an experiment with the design of a randomized complete block (RCB) with 3 replications was performed in Ardabil Province, Iran, to assess the impact of drought stress on RWC (relative water content) as well as the chlorophyll content of the wheat genotypes. Six wheat varieties were evaluated in this study, which include Kavir, Sardari, Varinac (resistant varieties), Tajan, Marvdasht, and Ghods (susceptible varieties). At the germination stage, water was withheld to apply drought stress. The results showed the difference between susceptible and resistant genotypes in terms of RWC, chlorophyll content, K, and Na ions concentration. Thus, these measures may be used to screen wheat drought tolerance.

**KEY WORDS:** WHEAT, DROUGHT STRESS, RELATIVE WATER CONTENT, CHLOROPHYLL CONTENT, MINERAL ELEMENT.

### INTRODUCTION

The chlorophyll content is among the important factors that impact the photosynthetic capacity. Drought stress may reduce or does not affect the plants' chlorophyll content in different plant species whose intensity is related to the intensity and duration of stress (Rensburg and Kruger, 1994; Kyparissis et al., 1995; Jagtap et al., 1998). The leaf chlorophyll content is an indicator of the photosynthetic capability of the tissues of plants (Nageswara et al., 2001; Wright et al., 1994). Flooding irrigation near one centimeter above the soil surface caused senescence and reduction in leaves' chlorophyll

content. Schelmmmer et al. (2005) reported that drought stress does not significantly affect maize leaf chlorophyll content. They concluded that turgor pressure reduction due to water deficit alters the quantity of far-red light which crosses the leaf and thus changes the chlorophyll meter device measurements (Gholamin and Khayatnezhad 2020). Drought stress increment increased the light reflection from the leaf surface. Barry et al. (1995) reported a similar result for wheat. Moreover, Fotovat et al. (2007) demonstrated that severe drought stress would significantly reduce the chlorophyll content of wheat leaves.

In the mid-1980s, RWC was developed as the best criterion to show the water status of plant used afterward in place of water potential. RWC is related to the cell volume and thus may accurately indicate the water absorbance and consumption balance through transpiration. Schonfeld et al. (1988) proved that high RWC wheat cultivars show higher resistance when subjected to drought stress. In general, osmoregulation appears as a major mechanism to preserve the turgor pressure in the majority of plant species when facing water loss, which allows the plant to absorb

#### Article Information:

\*Corresponding Author: [rozagholamin@gmail.com](mailto:rozagholamin@gmail.com)

Received 28/10/2020 Accepted after revision 04/12/2020

P-ISSN: 0974-6455 E-ISSN: 2321-4007

Thomson Reuters ISI Clarivate Analytics

Web of Science ESCI Indexed Journal

#### Identifiers & Pagination:

Vol 13(4) E-Pub 31<sup>st</sup> Dec 2020 Pp-1829-1833

This is an open access article under Creative Commons

License Attribution International (CC-BY 4.0)

Published by Society for Science & Nature India

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21786/bbrc/13.4/28>

water and continue its metabolic activities (Gunasekera and Berkowiz, 1992). Moreover, Zlatko Stoyanov (2005) showed that 14 days of drought stress until reaching a soil potential of -0.9 Mpa strongly decreased the turgor pressure and osmotic potential in the first bean leaf. Ramos et al. (2003) demonstrated the significant RWC reduction in the bean leaves when facing drought stress. Lazacano-Ferrat and Lovat (1999) exerted drought stress to the bean plant and evaluated stem RWC 10, 14, and 18 days after withholding irrigation. They reported a significantly lower RWC in comparison to control plants. Gaballah et al. (2007) exerted anti-transpirant matters on 2 Sesame cultivars, namely Shanavil 3 and Gize 32. They witnessed this matter via water, avoiding transpiration through the leaves, which resulted in an RWC increment in the mentioned cultivars.

No information is exists regarding the micronutrient spatial distributions in the grasses' raising leaves in drought conditions in addition to the comparative reactions of diverse species when subjected to salinity and drought stresses (Yunca et al., 2007). The significant role of metal ions (paramagnetic ions) is well known in water binding in plants (Joseph et al., 1996). Potassium plays a vital role in water relations and stomatal activity (Marchner, 1995; Mengel and Kirkby, 2001). K<sup>+</sup> presence in the plant reduces with diminishing soil water content since K<sup>+</sup> mobility decreases in such conditions. The plants' capacity to keep high potassium concentrations in tissues appears to be a valuable characteristic to consider in the refining genotypes for the purpose of high resistance of drought stress. Recently, it has been found that intracellular Ca<sup>2+</sup> regulates the plant's response to salinity and drought (Gholamin and Khayatnezhad 2020). Also, it has been demonstrated in the transduction of signals of salt- and drought-stress in plants, which play a crucial role in the osmoregulation in such conditions (Knight et al., 1997; Bartels and Sunker, 2005 Sallam et al 2019).

In many plants, high sodium level in an exterior solution reduces both Ca<sup>2+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup> tissue concentrations (Hu and Schmidhalter, 1997). This reduction may be attributed to Na<sup>+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup> antagonism at the roots uptake sites, Na<sup>+</sup> effect on K<sup>+</sup> transportation into the xylem (Lynch and Läunchli, 1984), or the uptake processes inhibition

(Suhayda et al., 1990). Not much evidence is known regarding the drought impact on Mg in the plants. Hu and Schmidhalter (2005) reported that drought decreases the uptake of Mg. This study is intended to define chlorophyll content, RWC, and the mineral elements of the Wheat leaves when subjected to drought stress in Karaj, Iran.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

In 2008, to assess the impact of drought stress on the chlorophyll content, RWC (relative water content), and the mineral element of six Wheat genotypes, we conducted an experiment with three replications using randomized complete block design in Karaj, Iran. This study included six Wheat genotypes (Sardari, Kavir, Tajan, Varinac, Marvdasht, and Ghods). We exerted drought stress through water withholding at the anthesis stage. A chlorophyll meter device was used to measure the chlorophyll content. For RWC calculation, we weighted the Leaf fresh samples, then flooded the fresh leaves in distilled water and directly heated them for 48 h at 70 °C. We weighted the leaves again. Finally, we calculated RWC based on Dhopte and Manuel (2002):

$$RWC = (FW-DW/TW-DW) \times 100$$

Where, FW is fresh weight, DW is dry weight and TW is turgor weight of leaf samples. Na and K were determined by flame photometry (Eppendorf Flex 6361 model). Ca and Mg were determined by potentiometric titration with EDTA solution.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Change of Leaf Chlorophyll:** Drought stress significantly ( $p < 0.01$ ) affected the chlorophyll content of leaf genotypes (Table 1). The results of this study showed that the highest chlorophyll content belongs to resistant genotypes, and the Kavir genotype as a resistant genotype had significantly higher chlorophyll content (51.89 SPAD) under drought stress. Tajan and Ghods genotypes, as susceptible genotypes, had a significantly low chlorophyll content. Water deficit may damage chlorophyll and inhibit chlorophyll synthesis (Lessani and Mojtahedi, 2002).

Table1. Mean comparisons of effect of genotypes on measured trails in drought stress

Treatments	Chlorophyll content	RWC	K	Na	Ca	Mg
Resistant genotypes						
Sardari	49.34b	74.43a	5a	4.16a	1.2a	0.26c
Kavir	51.89a	79.96a	5a	2.5c	0.7c	2.88a
Varinac	50.07b	72.2ab	5a	4.16a	0.66c	0.32c
Susceptible genotypes						
Tajan	45.01d	64.3bc	3.75c	3.66ab	1b	0.56bc
Marvdasht	46.98c	73.2ab	2.5d	2.91bc	0.3d	0.92b
Ghods	44.26d	59.3c	3.9b	2.83c	1.13ab	0.29c

Moreover, a group of investigators have stated that leaf pigment damage caused by water deficit (Montagu and WOO, 1990; Nilsen and Orcutt, 1996). Mensah et al. (2006) exposed Sesames to drought stress and showed that it leads to increased leaf chlorophyll, which remains unchanged. Besides, Beeflink et al. (1985) revealed increased chlorophyll content in onion when subjected to drought stress. Water deficit may reduce chlorophyll content through heat or drought stress through producing ROS (reactive oxygen species), including H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>, which may cause lipid peroxidation and hence, chlorophyll damage (Mirnoff, 1993; Foyer et al., 1994). Similarly, reduced chlorophyll content caused by the change of the leaf's green color into yellow leads to an increment of the incident radiation reflectance (Schelmmmer et al., 2005 Sallam et al 2019). Apparently, the mentioned mechanism may guard the photosynthetic system when facing stress. Lawlor and Cornic's (2002) study showed that reducing carbon assimilation, which confronts water deficit led to the destruction of photosystem 2 D1 protein (Xian-He et al., 1995) without any currently known explanation.

RWC was significantly affected by drought on the genotypes ( $p < 0.01$ ) (Table 1). The highest values belonged to Sardari and Kavir genotypes with 74.43 and 79.96%, respectively, while the lowest RWC belonged to Ghods genotype with 59.3%. Leaf RWC is among the best biochemical/ growth indexes, which show the severity of stress (Alizade, 2002). The RWC rate in highly resistant plants against drought is above other plants. Alternatively, plants with higher yields when subjected to drought stress require to have a high RWC. Thus, according to the results of this study, the mentioned genotypes, classified as genotypes with high and medium yield when subjected to drought stress, would show higher RWC. Plant RWC reduction when subjected to drought stress depends on its vigor decrement, which is the case in many plants (Liu et al., 2002). In the case of water deficit, the cell membrane is vulnerable to alterations including reduced sustainability and penetrability (Blokina et al., 2003). A microscopic study of dehydrated cells showed injuries such as cell membrane cleavage and cytoplasm content sedimentation (Blackman et al., 1995). Possibly, under such states, osmotic adjustability is decreased (Meyer and Boyer, 1981). In this case, it appears that the concentration of proper solutes is not enough to maintain the membrane.

**Change of Mineral Elements:** The current paper indicated that the varying of the mineral element among genotypes when subjected to drought stress (Table 1). Accordingly, tolerant genotypes provided the maximum potassium concentration, while susceptible genotypes provided the maximum sodium concentration. Though Ca and Mg differences were not significant between susceptible and resistant genotypes. K and Mg deficiency may lead to a considerable reduction in the metabolism of photosynthetic C as well as fixed carbon utilization (Mengel and Kirkby, 2001). Due to the distinctive impacts of K and Mg on the photo-oxidative damage in the plants which are matured in marginal conditions, including

chilling, salinity, and drought may be worsened in the case of low K or Mg soil supply. The sufficient potassium supply beneficial effect was attributed to the K role in photoassimilates retranslocation in roots, which caused superior root growth when subjected to drought stress (Egilla et al., 2001). Considering these results, we can attribute the K protective effects in drought stress to its inhibitory effects, which makes the plants more sensitive to the drought stress.

## CONCLUSION

Crop plants' productivity and survival depend on their exposure to environmental stresses and their adaptive mechanisms in order to prevent or tolerate stress. Mounting evidence shows that the plant's mineral nutritional status considerably impacts its adaptation ability when subjected to hostile environmental conditions. In the current study, we discussed the effect of the mineral nutritional status of the tolerant genotype in adapting to a state of drought stress. The present study was intended to investigate the characteristics of resistant plants against drought stress. Results of the current study demonstrated that RWC, chlorophyll content, the concentration of K, and Na ions were different between susceptible and resistant genotypes. Hence, these measures may be utilized as a screening tool to assess Wheat drought tolerance.

## REFERENCES

- Alizade A. 2002. Soil, Water and Plants Relationship. 3rd Edn., Emam Reza University Press, Mashhad, Iran, ISBN: 964-6582-21-4.
- Barry P, Evershed R, Young A, Prescott MC, Britton G. 1992. Characterization of carotenoid acyl ester produced in drought-stressed barley seedlings. *Phyto-Chemistry*, 9: 3163-3168.
- Bartels D, Sunkar R. 2005. Drought and salt tolerance in plants. *Criti. Rev. Plant Sci.* 24, 23-58.
- Beeflink WG, Rozema J, Huiskes AEL. 1985. Ecology of Coastal Vegetation. 2nd Edn., W. Junk Publication. USA., ISBN: 9061935318, pp: 640.
- Blackman SA, Obendorf RL, Lepold AC. 1995. Desiccation tolerance in developing soybean seeds: The role of stress proteins. *Plant Physiol.*, 93: 630-638.
- Blokhina O, Virolainen E, Fagerstedt KV. 2003. Antioxidative damage and oxygen deprivation stress. *Ann. Bot.*, 91: 179-194.
- Joseph D, Srinivasan VT, Lal M. 1996. Sainis, Study of the effect of paramagnetic ions on the water relaxation time of wheat leaves by nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) and energy dispersive X-ray fluorescence (EDXRF) spectroscopy. *J. Nucl. Agric. Biol.* 25(3), 139-143.
- Dhopte AM, Manuel LM. 2002. Principles and

- Techniques for Plant Scientists. 1st Edn., Updesh Purohit for Agribios (India), Odhpur, ISBN: 81-7754-116-1, pp: 373.
- Egilla JN, Davies FT, Drew MC. 2001. Effect of potassium on drought resistance of *Hibiscus rosa-sinensis* cv. Leprechaun: plant growth, leaf macro- and micronutrient content and root longevity. *Plant Soil*, 229: 213-224.
- Fotovat R, Valizadeh M, Toorehi M. 2007. Association between water-use-efficiency components and total chlorophyll content (SPAD) in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under well-watered and drought stress conditions. *J. Food. Agric. Environ.*, 5: 225-227.
- Foyer CH, Descourvieres P, Kunert KJ. 1994. Photo oxidative stress in plants. *Plant. Physiol.*, 92: 696-717.
- Gholamin, R. and M. Khayatnezhad (2020). "Assessment of the Correlation between Chlorophyll Content and Drought Resistance in Corn Cultivars (Zea Mays)." *Helix* 10(05): 93-97.
- Gholamin, R. and M. Khayatnezhad (2020). "Study of Bread Wheat Genotype Physiological and Biochemical Responses to Drought Stress." *Helix* 10(05): 87-92.
- Gaballah MS, Abou B, Leila H, El-Zeiny A, Khalil S. 2007. Estimating the performance of salt stressed sesame plant treated with antitranspirants. *J. Applied Sci. Res.*, 3: 811-817.
- Gunasekera D, Berkowitz GA. 1992. Evaluation of contrasting cellular-level acclimation responses to leaf water deficits in three wheat genotypes. *Plant. Sci.*, 86: 1-12.
- Hu Y, Schmidhalter U. 1997. Interactive effects of salinity and macronutrient level on wheat. 2. Composition. *J. Plant Nutr.* 20, 1169-1182.
- Hu Y, Schmidhalter U. 2005. Drought and salinity: A comparison their effect on mineral nutrition of plants. *J. Plant Nut. Soil Sci.* 168, 541-549.
- Jagtap V, Bhargava S, Sterb P, Feierabend J. 1998. Comparative effect of water, heat and light stresses on photosynthetic reactions in *Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench. *J. Exp. Bot.*, 49: 1715-1721.
- Khayatnezhad, M. and R. Gholamin (2020). "Study of Durum Wheat Genotypes' Response to Drought Stress Conditions." *Helix* 10(05): 98-103.
- Knight H, Trethewas AJ, Knight MR. 1997. Calcium signaling in *Arabidopsis thaliana* responding to drought and salinity. *Plant J.* 12, 1067-1078.
- Kyparissis A, Petropoulou Y, Manetas Y. 1995. Summer survival of leaves in a soft-leaved shrub (*Phlomis fruticosa* L., Labiales) under Mediterranean field conditions: Avoidance of photoinhibitory damage through decreased chlorophyll contents. *J. Exp. Bot.*, 46:1825-1831.
- Lawlor DW, Cornic G. 2002. Photosynthetic carbon assimilation and associated metabolism in relation to water deficits in higher plants. *Plant Cell Environ.*, 25: 275-284.
- Lazacano-Ferrat I, Lovat CJ. 1999. Relationship between relative water content, nitrogen pools, and growth of *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. and *P. acutifolius* A. Gray during water deficit. *Crop. Sci.*, 39: 467-475.
- Lessani H, Mojtahedi M. 2002. Introduction to Plant Physiology (Translation). 6th Edn., Tehran University press, Iran, ISBN: 964-03-3568-1, pp: 726.
- Liu Y, Fiskum G, Schubert D. 2002. Generation of reactive oxygen species by mitochondrial electron transport chain. *J. Neurochem.*, 80: 780-787.
- Lynch J, Läuchli A. 1984. Potassium-transport in salt-stressed barley roots. *Planta* 161, 295-301.
- Marschner H. 1995. Mineral nutrition of higher plants. 2nd Edition. Academic Press, San Diego. 889 pp.
- Mengel K, Kirkby EA. 2001. Principles of plant nutrition. 5th edition. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht. 848 pp.
- Mensah JK, Obadoni BO, Eroutor PG, Onome-Irieguna F. 2006. Simulated flooding and drought effects on germination, growth and yield parameters of Sesame (*Seasamum indicum* L.). *Afr. J. Biotechnol.*, 5: 1249-1253.
- Meyer RF, Boyer JS. 1981. Osmoregulation solute distribution and growth in soybean seedlings having low water potentials. *Planta*, 151: 482-489.
- Mirnoff N. 1993. The role of active oxygen in the response of plants to water deficit and desiccation. *New Phytol.*, 125: 27-58.
- Montagu KD, WOO KC. 1999. Recovery of tree photosynthetic capacity from seasonal drought in the wet-dry tropics: The role of phyllode and canopy processes in *Acacia auriculiformis*. *Aust. J. Plant Physiol.*, 26: 135-145.
- Nageswara RRC, Talwar HS, Wright GC. 2001. Rapid assessment of specific leaf area and leaf nitrogen in peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) using chlorophyll meter. *J. Agron. Crop Sci.*, 189: 175-182.
- Nilsen ET, Orcutt DM. 1996. Physiology of Plants Under Stress, Abiotic Factors. 2nd Edn., John Wiley and Sons Inc., New York, ISBN: 0471170089, pp: 689.
- Ramos MLG, Parsons R, Sprent JI, Games EK. 2003. Effect of water stress on nitrogen fixation and nodule

- structure of common bean. *Pesq. Agropec. Brasilia.*, 38: 339-347.
- Rensburg LV, Kruger GHJ. 1994. Evaluation of components of oxidative stress metabolism for use in selection of drought tolerant cultivars of *Nicotiana tabacum* L. *J. Plant Physiol.*, 143:730-737.
- Sallam A Ahmad M. Alqudah, Mona F. A. Dawood P. Stephen Baenziger and Andreas Börner (2019) Drought Stress Tolerance in Wheat and Barley: Advances in Physiology, Breeding and Genetics Research *Int J Mol Sci.* 2019 Jul; 20(13): 3137.
- Schlemmer MR, Francis DD, Shanahan JF, Schepers JS. 2005. Remotely measuring chlorophyll content in corn leaves with differing nitrogen levels and relative water content. *Agron. J.*, 97:106-112.
- Schonfeld MA, Johnson RC, Carwer BF, Mornhinweg DW. 1988. Water relations in winter wheat as drought resistance indicators. *Crop. Sci.*, 28: 526-531.
- Suhayda CG, Giannini JL, Briskin DP, Shannon MC. 1990. Electrostatic changes in *Lycopersicon esculentum* root plasma membrane resulting from salt stress. *Plant Physiol.* 93, 471-478.
- Wright GC, Nageswara RC, Farquhar GD. 1994. Water use efficiency and carbon isotope discrimination in peanut under water deficit conditions. *Crop Sci.*, 34: 92-97.
- Xian-He J, Wang J, Guo H, Liang F. 1995. Effects of water stress on photochemical function and protein metabolism of photosystem II in wheat leaves. *Physiol Plant.*, 93: 771-777.
- Yunca H, Burucs Z, Tucher S, Schmidhalter U. 2007. Short-term effects of drought and salinity on mineral nutrient distribution along growing leaves of maize seedlings. *Environmental and Experimental Botany*, 60: 268-275.
- Zlatko Stoyanov Z. 2005. Effect of water stress on leaf water relations of young bean. *J. Cent. Eur. Agric.*, 6: 5-14.