ABSTRACT
The occurrence of soil’s heavy metals may be beneficial and/or toxic to the environment as these elements may be among the essentials required for the plant growth (like Fe, Cu, Zn, Cr or Mo) in trace quantities, but at higher concentrations they may also be poisonous. An experiment was conducted on four mash bean (Vigna mungo) (L Hepper) genotypes to evaluate the toxic or beneficial effects of chromium (III) applied in rhizospheric environment of the plant. Photosynthetic phytochemicals in the form of chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, total carotenoids, plant nitrate reductase activity and physiological growth as leaf area index (LAI) were recorded against 10.0, 20.0, 30.0, 40.0, 50.0 and 60.0 mg kg\(^{-1}\) of chromium (III) concentrations. Seeds of four genotypes sterilized with 10% (V/V) hydrogen peroxide were sown in earthen pots filled with homogenized loamy soil. Chromium was added in soil as CrCl\(_3\) solution after twenty days of germination. Data were collected on expiry of twenty five days after chromium addition. Increasing amount of chromium (Cr) appeared to be responsible for gradual reduction in Nitrate Reductase Activity (NRA), photosynthetic pigments and physiological growth of leaf in term of Leaf Area Index (LAI) were recorded against 10.0, 20.0, 30.0, 40.0, 50.0 and 60.0 mg kg\(^{-1}\) of chromium (III) concentrations. Seeds of four genotypes sterilized with 10% (V/V) hydrogen peroxide were sown in earthen pots filled with homogenized loamy soil. Chromium was added in soil as CrCl\(_3\) solution after twenty days of germination. Data were collected on expiry of twenty five days after chromium addition. Increasing amount of chromium (Cr) appeared to be responsible for gradual reduction in Nitrate Reductase Activity (NRA), photosynthetic pigments and physiological growth of leaf in term of Leaf Area Index (LAI). The lowest significantly effective dose was 20 mg kg\(^{-1}\) in this regard. While the most effective proven dose was 60 mg kg\(^{-1}\) for each attribute. The observations were excluded from the ongoing trend when 10 mg kg\(^{-1}\) chromium (Cr) reflected an increase in studied characteristics, the most being 13.59% for Nitrate Reductase Activity (NRA) at this level. Of the genotypes, MASH 80 was the most sensitive while MASH 88 was the least sensitive to chromium stress.
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INTRODUCTION
Chromium (Cr) is a natural element mostly found in rocky and volcanic areas. The IARC (International Agency for Research on Cancer) has classified this element as a carcinogenic agent. Therefore, its proper management and very accurate investigations of soil–plant systems are required. This hazardous Cr has high solubility rate in water, so it contaminates the ground water and, in this way, it is transferred to food chain through soil
translocation in plants organs (Shahid et al. 2017). Eventually, the stable form is Cr (III), whereas for plants the Cr (VI) is most noxious, as when they enter the cell, these oxidation states attack the proteins, lipids and DNA (Tchounwou et al. 2012; Stambulska et al. 2018).

2017;Kaur et al. 2018; Kohli et al. 2018). Plant uptake Cr through active and passive mechanisms have been studied by many workers, (Shanker et al. 2005; Cervantes 2001;Appenroth et al. 2008 and De Oliveira et al. 2013). Due to its structural similarity with sulphate and phosphate, uptake of chromium by root cells is through sulphate or phosphate (De Oliveira et al. 2016). Cr (VI) when it enters into the cells of plants, gets converted to Cr (III) which finally gets bonded to cell walls and blocks further Cr transport to the plants, (Kabata and Szteke, 2015).

Mash bean (Vigna mungo (L.) Hepper) is a member of Papilionaceae family and is one of the most important world’s pulse crops and in Pakistan, it is paradoxically the least researched crop in spite of its high nutritive and economic value. On the basis of chemical analysis, the seeds of mash bean have been found to contain oil, fats, carbohydrates, protein, with traced vitamin A and B amounts (James, 1981). It fixes free atmospheric N2 for its consumption and enriches with N for next the crops (Sen, 1996). Considering the importance of mash bean and in particular the ever increasing toxicity of chromium in environment, the present experiment was devised to find out the extent of chromium concentration which could be toxic to the plant under study.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

To find out whether chromium metal is toxic or beneficial element for plant, an experiment was devised to evaluate the photosynthetic pigments, Nitrate Reductase (EC 1.6.6.1) Activity (NRA) and leaf area index of four mash bean (Vigna mungo (L. Hepper) genotypes under various chromium applied concentrations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chromium (mg kg⁻¹ soil)</th>
<th>MASH 80</th>
<th>MASH 88</th>
<th>MASH 97</th>
<th>MASH ES-1</th>
<th>TREATMENTS MEANS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0.639±0.043 (F)</td>
<td>0.935±0.135 (BC)</td>
<td>0.915±0.126 (C)</td>
<td>0.860±0.170 (CD)</td>
<td>0.837±0.166 (BC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.624±0.101 (2.347) (F)</td>
<td>1.039±0.061 (-11.122) (A)</td>
<td>1.008±0.050 (-10.163) (AB)</td>
<td>0.830±0.063 (3.488) (D)</td>
<td>0.875a±0.182 (-4.540)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.402±0.0289 (37.089) (H)</td>
<td>0.896±0.082 (3.660) (CD)</td>
<td>0.733±0.114 (19.890) (E)</td>
<td>0.461±0.093 (46.395) (GH)</td>
<td>0.623b±0.221 (25.567)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.205±0.031 (67.918) (JK)</td>
<td>0.520±0.024 (44.385) (G)</td>
<td>0.416±0.086 (54.535) (H)</td>
<td>0.305±0.032 (64.534) (I)</td>
<td>0.361c±0.129 (56.869)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.107±0.007 (83.255) (LM)</td>
<td>0.290±0.009 (68.983) (LJ)</td>
<td>0.269±0.087 (70.601) (LJ)</td>
<td>0.142±0.028 (83.488) (KL)</td>
<td>0.202±0.091 (75.866)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.042±0.014 (93.427) (MN)</td>
<td>0.134±0.022 (85.668) (KL)</td>
<td>0.133±0.039 (85.464) (KL)</td>
<td>0.063±0.023 (91.970) (LMN)</td>
<td>0.093±0.048 (88.888)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.012±0.013 (98.122) (N)</td>
<td>0.060±0.029 (35.187) (LMM)</td>
<td>0.032±0.014 (96.502) (MN)</td>
<td>0.027±0.009 (96.860) (MN)</td>
<td>0.033±0.024 (96.057)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2. Chlorophyll b contents (mg g⁻¹ leaf F. wt) of 45 days old mash (Vigna mungo (L.) Hepper) grown in chromium (III) supplemented soil (0, 10, 20, 30, 40 50 and 60mg/kg soil) (Values represent means ± SE). Values in parentheses are percentage to increase(+) decrease(-) over untreated of row#1 or over MASH 80 for genotypes means. These followed by dissimilar letters if different at P=0.05 among means of treatments and genotypes (lower case letter) as well as among interactions (upper case letters).**

**Materials:** After the initial survey, effluent hazard-free sandy loam soil was selected, which was air-dried, grounded, passed through a 2 mm sieve and mixed well. Seeds of four mash genotypes i.e., MASH 80, MASH 88, MASH 97 and MASH ES-1 were used in the experiment. The genotypes have their origin in Ayub Agricultural Research Institute (AARI), Faisalabad (Pakistan) and National Agricultural Research Centre (NARC) Islamabad (Pakistan). These were obtained from Pulse Section, Ayub Agricultural Research Institute (AARI), Faisalabad.
(Pakistan). For imposing metal pollution in soil, chloride of chromium of Sigma Aldrich, Japan was used.

**Experimental design and methodologies:** Experiment was designed with complete randomization of treatments and genotypes to avoid unequal exposure of environmental factors. Each treatment was repeated four times by pots and plants. Pots of 30 cm diameter were filled with 10 kg sandy loam soils and lined with polyethylene bags ensuring seepage prevention. These were arranged in completely randomized design. Seeds sterilized with 0.1% (V/V) HgCl₂, similar in size and weight, were germinated and thinning was performed after germination to maintain one seedling in each arranged pot to avoid the imbalanced uptake of soil’s nutrients by plants. Weeds were uprooted from time to time by hand weeding and hoeing in order to avoid weed crop competition. Insects and pests were control by foliar spray of Thiodon insecticides of Hoechst (Pvt) Ltd, Pakistan. Plants were irrigated with normal irrigation water.

**Chromium treatment:** Quantified amounts of chromium chloride were added in soil accordingly to raise the chromium levels of 10.0, 20.0, 30.0, 40.0, 50.0 and 60.0 mg kg⁻¹ soil. Metals salts were applied in soil as a water solution of CrCl₃, method similar to that used by (Stoeva and Bineva, 2003) after twenty days of sowing while the pots without the addition of metals salts acted as control.

**Photosynthetic phytochemical quantification:** Photosynthetic phytochemicals in the form of pigments contents were measured by using the formula of Arnon, (1949) after twenty five days of metal imposition. The leaves were extracted with 80% acetone. By using spectrophotometer (Hitachi Model-U 2001 Japan), the absorbances were measured at 645nm and 663nm for Chl a and b contents respectively and at 480 nm for carotenoids. Carotenoids contents were calculated after (Goodwin, 1965) and chlorophylls were calculated according to the (Lichtenthaler, 1987) formulae

\[
\text{Carotenoids (mg g}^{-1}\text{ leaf fresh weight) } = \frac{(A_{\text{car}}/eM)}{1000}.
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chromium (mg kg⁻¹ soil)</th>
<th>MASH 80</th>
<th>MASH 88 (LSD=0.077 ;n=4)</th>
<th>MASH 97 (LSD=0.016 ;n=4)</th>
<th>MASH ES-1 (LSD=0.041 ;n=16)</th>
<th>TREATMENTS MEANS (LSD=0.031 ;n=28)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0.432±0.57 (FG)</td>
<td>0.531±0.119 (DE)</td>
<td>0.594±0.017 (CD)</td>
<td>0.773±0.164 (A)</td>
<td>0.582a±0.159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.403±0.102 (6.712) (G)</td>
<td>0.672±0.044 (-16.760) (B)</td>
<td>0.657±0.067 (-10.606) (BC)</td>
<td>0.545±0.039 (29.495) (DE)</td>
<td>0.569a±0.126 (2.233)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.197±0.033 (54.398) (I)</td>
<td>0.643±0.076 (-21.092) (BC)</td>
<td>0.480±0.059 (19.191) (EF)</td>
<td>0.275±0.056 (64.424) (H)</td>
<td>0.399 b±0.188 (31.443)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.130±0.023 (69.907) (LJ)</td>
<td>0.285±0.052 (46.327) (H)</td>
<td>0.298±0.062 (49.831) (H)</td>
<td>0.177±0.024 (77.102) (I)</td>
<td>0.222 c±0.083 (61.855)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.059±0.008 (86.342) (JK)</td>
<td>0.186±0.007 (64.971) (I)</td>
<td>0.180±0.068 (69.696) (I)</td>
<td>0.072±0.018 (90.685) (JK)</td>
<td>0.125±0.069 (78.522)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.069±0.056 (84.027) (JK)</td>
<td>0.079±0.014 (85.122) (JK)</td>
<td>0.081±0.017 (86.363) (JK)</td>
<td>0.036±0.010 (95.342) (K)</td>
<td>0.066 c±0.033 (88.659)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.068±0.064 (84.259) (JK)</td>
<td>0.027±0.017 (94.915) (K)</td>
<td>0.016±0.005 (97.306) (K)</td>
<td>0.019±0.004 (97.542) (K)</td>
<td>0.032 c±0.037 (94.501)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genotypes MEANS</td>
<td>0.194 c±0.159</td>
<td>0.346 a±0.258 (-78.350)</td>
<td>0.329 a±0.242 (-69.587)</td>
<td>0.271 b±0.277 (-39.690)</td>
<td>0.285±0.242</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Total Carotenoids contents (mg g⁻¹ leaf F. wt) of 45 days old mash (Vigna mungo (L.) Hepper) grown in chromium (III) supplemented soil (0, 10, 20, 30, 40 50 and 60mg/kg soil) (Values represent means ±SE). These values in parentheses represent % age increase (+) / decrease (-) over untreated of row#1 or over MASH 80 for genotypes means. These are followed with dissimilar letters, are different @ P =0.05 among means of treatments and genotypes (lower case letter) as well as among interactions (upper case letters).
Table 4. Nitrate Reductase (EC 1.6.6.1) Activity (NRA) of 45 days old mash (Vigna mungo (L.) Hepper) grown in chromium (III) supplemented soil (0, 10, 20, 30, 40 50 and 60mg/kg soil) (Values represent means±SE). These values in parentheses, represent %age increase(+)/decrease(-) over untreated of row#1 or over MASH 80 for genotypes means. These are followed with dissimilar letters, are different @ P =0.05 among means of treatments and genotypes (lower case letter) as well as among interactions (upper case letters).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chromium (mg kg⁻¹ soil)</th>
<th>MASH 80</th>
<th>MASH 88 (LSD=0.0444 ;n=4)</th>
<th>MASH 97 (LSD=0.036 ;n=16)</th>
<th>MASH ES-1 (LSD=0.026 ;n=16)</th>
<th>TREATMENTS MEANS (LSD=0.044 ;n=4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0.743±0.023 (E)</td>
<td>0.963±0.039 (A)</td>
<td>0.837±0.030 (D)</td>
<td>0.951±0.037 (AB)</td>
<td>0.873±0.097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.844±0.029 (13.593) (D)</td>
<td>0.902±0.026 (6.334) (C)</td>
<td>0.849±0.036 (1.433) (D)</td>
<td>0.910±0.025 (3.3112) (BC)</td>
<td>0.876±0.040 (-0.343)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.609±0.012 (18.034) (JK)</td>
<td>0.837±0.030 (13.084) (D)</td>
<td>0.763±0.010 (8.841) (E)</td>
<td>0.721±0.052 (24.185) (EF)</td>
<td>0.733±0.089 (16.036)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.583±0.013 (21.534) (KL)</td>
<td>0.658±0.043 (31.671) (GHI)</td>
<td>0.726±0.007 (13.261) (EF)</td>
<td>0.616±0.014 (35.226) (LJK)</td>
<td>0.646±0.059 (26.002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.551±0.014 (25.841) (LM)</td>
<td>0.670±0.017 (30.425) (GH)</td>
<td>0.692±0.024 (17.323) (FG)</td>
<td>0.579±0.009 (42.481) (KL)</td>
<td>0.623±0.063 (28.636)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.522±0.002 (29.744) (MIN)</td>
<td>0.634±0.018 (34.164) (HII)</td>
<td>0.640±0.011 (23.536) (HII)</td>
<td>0.547±0.022 (43.112) (LM)</td>
<td>0.586±0.055 (32.875)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.533±0.027 (28.263) (MN)</td>
<td>0.462±0.060 (52.024) (O)</td>
<td>0.490±0.032 (42.457) (NO)</td>
<td>0.528±0.135 (44.479) (MIN)</td>
<td>0.503±0.0753 (42.382)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GENOTYPES MEANS</td>
<td>0.626c±0.115 (9.686)</td>
<td>0.732a±0.168 (-5.627)</td>
<td>0.714a±0.118 (-3.030)</td>
<td>0.693b±0.171</td>
<td>0.691±0.149 (LSD=0.020 ;n=28)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chl a in leaf fresh weight (mg g⁻¹)=(12.7(OD663)-2.69(OD645))×V/1000×W. Chl b in leaf fresh weight (mg g⁻¹)=(22.9(OD645)-4.68(OD663))×V/1000×W. Where Acar = OD480+0.114(OD663)-0.638(OD645); EM (100%) =2500; OD =Optical density; V is volume of sample and W is weight of sample.

**Estimation of Nitrate Reductase activity:** Nitrate reductase activity was determined on the expiry of twenty five days after metal imposition using the method of (Sym, 1984).

**Leaf Area Index:** The leaf area index (LAI) was calculated by using the following formula given by Puttaswamy et al., (1976).

\[
LAI = L \times W \times N \times K \text{ Where, } L= \text{length of the leaf (cm);} W= \text{maximum width of the leaf in cm;} N= \text{number of leaves per plant;} K= \text{constant (0.65 for legume crops).}
\]

**Statistical analysis:** The data collected were analyzed for analysis of variance (ANOVA) with computer based COSTAT package (CoHort Software, Berkeley, CA) software. Duncan's New Multiple Range (DNMR) test performed at 5% (Duncan, 1955) to compare means. The F values (significant) were tested for mean differences by LSD tests at significance level(0.05%), by using MSTAT-C Computer Statistical Programme,(MSTAT Development Team, 1989)

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Chlorophyll a contents (mg g⁻¹ leaf F. wt): According to Duncan's Multiple Range Test (Table: 1), increasing intensity of metal stress by its escalating levels appeared to be responsible for gradual reduction in chlorophyll a contents. Index of variability in chlorophyll a revealed that chromium (III) application above the limit of 10mg kg⁻¹ caused statistically marked reduction in the pigment concentration. Maximum effect in all the genotypes was predominantly observed by 60 mg kg⁻¹. A deviation from the ongoing role of metal was noted when 10 mg kg⁻¹ metal was supplemented to soil medium of MASH 88 and MASH 97 plants which exhibited an increase in chlorophyll a content by 17.156% and 9.295% respectively from the control. Among the genotypes,
MASH 88 revealed maximum (0.645) and MASH ES-1 revealed minimum (0.439) values. MASH 97 differed from MASH 80 by a value of 6.837%.

Chlorophyll b Contents (mg g⁻¹ leaf F. wt): Chromium (III) stress imposition induced a reduction in chlorophyll b content and established an inverse correlation between the two (Table: 2). A remarkable and statistically non significant effect of chromium (III) in decreasing pigment concentration was when supplied above 10 mg kg⁻¹ concentration. Maximum effect in all the genotypes was by 60 mg kg⁻¹ but in MASH 88 was by 50 mg kg⁻¹. Metal level of 10 mg kg⁻¹ in MASH 88 and MASH 97 provided an opposite index of its action and increased the chlorophyll b contents by 11.122% and 10.163% from untreated control plants. Among the genotypes, MASH 88 revealed maximum (0.553) and MASH 80 revealed minimum (0.590) values. Differences of 72.758% and 90.689% were statistically obvious for MASH 97 and MASH 88 respectively from MASH 80.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chromium (mg kg⁻¹ soil)</th>
<th>MASH 80</th>
<th>MASH 88</th>
<th>MASH 97</th>
<th>MASH ES-1</th>
<th>TREATMENTS</th>
<th>MEANS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>401.957±12.967 (ABC)</td>
<td>362.462±13.717 (CDE)</td>
<td>447.702±6.819 (AB)</td>
<td>429.642±6.003 (ABC)</td>
<td>410.441b±34.487</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>381.375±10.975 (5.369) (BCD)</td>
<td>421.377±14.754 (-16.254) (ABC)</td>
<td>449.850±23.829 (-0.479) (AB)</td>
<td>463.490±6.982 (-7.878) (A)</td>
<td>429.023a±35.276</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>243.377±77.637 (38.999) (GHI)</td>
<td>233.627±9.060 (35.544) (III)</td>
<td>226.802±16.358 (49.340) (I)</td>
<td>247.827±12.767 (42.317) (FGHI)</td>
<td>237.908±37.148</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GENOTYPES MEANS →</td>
<td>304.510c±67.758</td>
<td>297.288c±69.293 (2.371)</td>
<td>319.551b±88.408 (-4.939)</td>
<td>340.992a±89.694 (-11.980)</td>
<td>315.585±80.156</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Carotenoids Contents (mg g⁻¹ leaf F. wt): Higher concentrations of chromium (III) induced reduction in carotenoids contents corresponded to its levels of application (Table: 7). Carotenoids were affected significantly by chromium (III) concentrations of not less than 20 mg kg⁻¹. Maximum effect in all the genotypes was by 60 mg kg⁻¹. Though irregularly, chromium (III) at lower level of its concentration, exhibited enhancement effects as 10 mg kg⁻¹ revealed an increase of 16.760% and 10.606% for plants of MASH 88 and MASH 97 respectively. Similarly 20 mg kg⁻¹ chromium (III) increased the carotenoids contents of MASH 88 by 21.092%.

Differences of 69.587% and 78.350% were statistically obvious for MASH 97 and MASH 88 respectively from MASH 80. Genotypes, MASH 88 revealed maximum (0.346) and MASH 80 revealed minimum (0.194) values for total carotenoids contents.

Nitrate Reductase (EC 1.6.6.1) Activity (NRA): From the data for mean values, it could be inferred that the chromium (III) supply impaired the activity of nitrate reductase for its reduction potential. The decrease in Nitrate Reductase Activity (NRA) established an inverse correlation with metal concentration (Table: 4). Nitrate

Table 5. Leaf Area Index (LAI) of 45 days old mash (Vigna mungo (L.) Hepper) grown in chromium (III) supplemented soil (0, 10, 20, 30, 40 50 and 60 mg/kg soil) (Values represent means ± SE). Values in parentheses represent percentage increase (+)/decrease (-) over untreated of row#1 or over MASH 80 for genotypes means. Values followed by dissimilar letters, are different at P = 0.05 among means of treatments and genotypes (lower case letter) as well as among interactions (upper case letters).
Reductase Activity (NRA) value, when measured under the influence of more than 10mg kg\(^{-1}\) chromium (III), was found to be statistically lower than that of untreated control. Maximum effect (42.382%) was conceived by metal toxicity of 60mg kg\(^{-1}\). A similar pattern of metal stress was extendable to all the genotypes. However, the application of 10 mg kg\(^{-1}\) chromium (III) to the plants of MASH 97 and MASH ES\(^{-1}\) stimulated the Nitrate Reductase Activity (NRA) by 1.433 % and 13.593% respectively. Different sensitivity range for chromium (III) was found in genotypes. Among the genotypes, MASH 88 revealed maximum (0.732) and MASH 80 revealed minimum (0.626) values.

**Leaf area index (LAI):** From the data for mean values, it could be inferred that the chromium (III) supply reduced the leaf area index (Table 8). The decrease in leaf area index (LAI) established an inverse correlation with metal concentration. Leaf area index (LAI) value, when measured under the influence of more than 10mg kg\(^{-1}\) chromium (III), was found to be statistically lower than that of untreated control. Maximum effect (42.036%) was conceived by metal toxicity of 60mg kg\(^{-1}\). A similar pattern of metal stress was extendable to all the genotypes. However, the application of 10 mg kg\(^{-1}\) chromium (III) stimulated the leaf area index (LAI) in all genotypes Different sensitivity range for chromium (III) was found in genotypes. Among the genotypes, MASH ES\(^{-1}\) revealed maximum (340.992) leaf area index (LAI) and MASH 88 revealed minimum (297.288) values.

Our results revealed that chlorophyll concentration was decreased by chromium application in a concentration dependent manner (Table 1\& 2). A significant reduction of concentration dependent manner (Table 1\& 2). A significant reduction of chromium in chromium treated plant is reported by many researchers (Rai et al. 2014; Rajendran et al. 2019; Sinha et al. 2004; Balal et al. 2017; Islam et al. 2016; Zou et al. 2009; Amin et al. 2013; Tang et al. 2012; Amin et al. 2014). Chromium reduction might be either due to inhibiting biosynthesis of chlorophyll (Lushchak, 2010; Sharma et al. 2019; Chandra and Kulshreshtha, 2004) or destruction of chlorophyll molecule in Cr treated plants (Valko et al. 2006). Lowering in chlorophylls could occur due to increase in activities of chlorophyllase enzyme and nutrients deficiency because of higher concentration of metal translocation toward shoots (Shakoor et al. 2014; Khan et al. 2016).

On exposure of plants to Cr stress, chlorophyll contents are depleted and it could cause disruption of the chlorophyll biosynthesis of plants, (Chandra and Kulshreshtha, 2004). Cr reduced chlorophyll content by inhibiting activity of δ-aminolevulinic acid dehydratase (ALAD) enzyme which is involved in chlorophyll synthesis as reported by Hayat et al. (2012).

Metal stress reduced carotenoid content (Table 3), which might be attributed to activation of osmotic stress leading to biosynthesis of abscisic acid (ABA) in tissues by damaging the carotenoids by the NCeD (9-cis epoxycarotenoid dioxygenase). Another possible reason for reduction in carotenoid content might be biosynthesis of anthocyanins. The anthocyanins are synthesized during stress and interfere with carotenoids (Burger and Edwards, 1996). The experimental results revealed, as a general trend, reduction in nitrate reductase activity by metal stress (Table 4). Inhibition of NRA by metal might be caused either by reduction of biosynthesis enzyme or by suppression of activity of existing enzyme. Depolarization of NR thiol or SH groups by metal also been found to reduce enzyme activity, (Jones and Mhuimhneachain 1995). Reduced NRA may be attributed to decreased N content availability to plants, either due to shortage in soil or consumption by the plant itself, (Campbell 1999). Stress mediated decreased cytokinin levels might cause a reduction in nitrate reductase activity (Bueno et al 1994), or through phosphorus limitation (Gniazdowska and Rychter 2000). Another reason for NRA might be due to reduced chlorophyll content or reduced rate of photosynthesis (Rai et al 1992; Li et al. 2012; Zhang et al 2018).

It has been noted from the results of NR activities which depends on rate of photosynthesis or its products which are required by photosynthetically generated reductant (NADH) and free energy for functions (Raghuram and Sopory 1995). The experimental results revealed a gradual reduction in leaf area index with increasing concentration of metal (Table 5). Leaf area reduction can be due to growth inhibition in metal treated plants (Ouariti and Ghorbal, 1997). Leaf growth reduction might be the result of low water potential due to very negative solute potential in the soil solution (Hayward and Spurr, 1944). Reduced cytokinin contents by metal might be responsible for growth reduction by inhibition of cell division and cell elongation.

The results of the experiment indicated that plants have potential to withstand up to 10 mg/kg soil chromium concentration as no toxicity has observed at this level of concentration. These effects of chromium may simply be due to the response raised by dose concentrations of the seedlings where the plant growth is stimulated under low dose while it suppressed under high doses (Shah et al. 2008). The absence of effect at low concentration could be attributed to the fact that low dose of Cr in roots than in shoot has restricted effect on the root, while higher in the shoots have also been observed, (Selvam and Wong, 2008).

**REFERENCES**


Yasin et al.,


Kabata-Pendias, A., Szteke, B. (2015). Trace Elements in Abiotic and Biotic Environments. CRC Press; Boca Raton, FL, USA:


Kaur, R., Yadav, P., Sharma, A., Thukral, A.K., Kumar,


Santos, C., Rodriguez, E. Botany. (2012). In- Tech; Rijeka, Croatia Review on some emerging endpoints of chromium (VI) and lead phytotoxicity; pp. 61–82.

